



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/430,186	10/29/1999	WASAO TAKASUGI	152-515P	6902

2292 7590 06/25/2003

BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

LEE, DIANE I

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2876

DATE MAILED: 06/25/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Applicant No.	Applicant(s)
	09/430,186	TAKASUGI ET AL.
Examiner D. I. Lee	Art Unit	
	2876	

— The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 April 2003.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1,4-11 and 23-33 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) 23-33 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1 and 4-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.

If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
 - a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the Amendment filed 4 April 2003. Claims 1 and 4 have been amended; claims 2-3 and 12-22 have been canceled; and no claims have been newly added. Currently, claims 1 and 4-11 are pending in this application.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 9 is objected to because of the following informalities:

(a) Re claim 9, line 3: "said con-contact information" should be changed to -- said con-contact information medium--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 1 and 4-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Emori et al. [WO 99/26195, previously cited by the examiner].

Note: Since the previously cited reference, Emori et al. [WO 99/26195], is in Japanese language and has an English equivalent reference (i.e., US Patent 6,378,774 granted to Emori), the examiner has physically referenced to US Patent 6,378,774 in the rejection below.

Re claims 1 and 4: Emori teaches a non-contact card 1 comprising:

a booster unit having a first coil 4 and capacitor 15, capable of wireless communication with an external apparatus 100 by utilizing an electromagnetic induction; and an IC element 6 on a module 2 capable of communicating with the booster unit in a wireless communication via a second coil 8 connected to the element (see figures 2-3) in such a way that said IC module includes IC element (IC chip 6 which is a semiconductor memory) and a second coil 8 electrically connected and formed integrally with the IC element for transmitting/receiving data to/from the external apparatus through the first coil formed on the booster unit (see figure 3A-3B).

Re claims 5-7: The booster unit is provided as such the first coil is combined with the capacitor 15 in a parallel-resonant fashion, or may also be preferably be set in a series-resonant fashion (see col. 9, lines 21+);

Re claim 8: The first and second coils are arranged such that a magnetic flux direction of the first coil is made substantially coincident with a magnetic flux direction of the second (see col. 9, lines 30+);

Re claims 9-10: Figure 3B, for example, shows that the first coil is arranged on one surface of supporting member, and the second coil 8 is arranged on the other surface opposite the first coil. The figure also shows that the second coil is arranged inside of the first coil (see figure 3A).

Re claim 11: a third coil 3 may be contained the booster unit for receiving an induction current produced in the first coil and electro-magnetically coupling to the second coil (see figure 3A for example).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 04 April 3003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
6. Applicant argues that contrary to the IC module of Emori having the IC chip 6 is disposed on the substrate 9, which is connected with the substrate by wire-bonding, the IC element of the instant application is formed integrally with a communication antenna; therefore, connecting mean (wire-bonding) for connecting the substrate 9 is not necessary (see page 3, line 3+). given the broadest interpretation of the claim, the figure 3A-3B meets the claim limitation given the broadest interpretation

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. I. Lee whose telephone number is 703-306-3427. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 5:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on 703-305-3503. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Waine I. Lee
D. I. Lee
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2876

D. L.
June 20, 2003